

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ess:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
	P.O. Box 1450	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
	many mento gov	

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/361,478	07/26/1999	J. WALLACE PARCE	CALPP001X1	5568	
	26541 7	590 10/01/2004		EXAM	INER	
	RITTER, LANG & KAPLAN			TSAI, CAI	TSAI, CAROL S W	
		12930 SARATOGA AE. SUITE D1 SARATOGA, CA 95070		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2857		
				DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 90W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	09/361,478	PARCE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carol S Tsai	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ready within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	lugust 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	), 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-15</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
,	Adminor. Note the attached	2 011100 7 0011011 01 101111 1 1 0 1	<b>02</b> .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	its have been received. Its have been received in A	Application No	ne
application from the International Burea		10001404 III tilla 144tiolidi Otaş	90
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	received.	
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date	2)
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Notice of 1	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	<del>-)</del>

Application/Control Number: 09/361,478 Page 2

Art Unit: 2857

11

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U. S. Publication 2004/0063162 to Dunlay et al.

Dunlay et al. disclose a computer program product for controlling an analytical instalment that analyses microfluidic devices, comprising: code that includes a sequence of steps, each step specifying at least one well of a microiuidic device, a value indicative of a driving force to be applied to fluid in the at least one well and a duration for applying the driving force specified by the value to the fluid in the at least one well; and a computer readable medium that stores the code (see Fig. 11 and paragraphs 0035, 0076, 0077, 0092, 0138-0140, and 0144).

As to claim 13, Dunlay et al. also disclose the sequence of steps storing on a computer readable medium and the computer readable medium being selected from the group consisting of a memory, hard disk, floppy, CD-ROM, tape, and data signal embodied on a carrier wave (see paragraphs 0035 and 0075).

Application/Control Number: 09/361,478 Page 3

Art Unit: 2857

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-11, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U. S. Publication 2004/0063162 to Dunlay et al. in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,500,323 to Chow et al.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or

subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Dunlay et al. disclose a computer implemented method of controlling an analytical instrument that analyzes microfluidic devices comprising: receiving a sequence of steps, each step specifying at least on well of a microfluidic device, a value indicative of a driving force to be applied to fluid in at least one well and a duration for applying the driving force specified by the value to the fluid in the at least one well (see Fig. 11 and paragraphs 0076, 0077, 0138-0140, and 0144); for each step, applying the driving force specified by the value to the fluid in the at least one well in order to drive the fluid along a channel in the microfluidic device (see Fig. 11 and paragraphs 0076, 0077, 0092, 0095, and 0144); and scanning fluid as it passes a detection zone along the channel in the microfluidic device (see paragraphs 0076, 0095, 0104-0106, 0144, and 0145).

Dunlay et al. do not disclose driving the fluid along a channel in the microfluidic device.

Chow et al. teach driving the fluid along a channel in the microfluidic device (see col. 1, lines 61-66 and col. 3, lines 31-41).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dunlay et al.'s system to include driving the fluid along a channel in the microfluidic device, as taught by Chow et al., in order to perform the analysis (see col. 1, line 66).

As to claim 14, Dunlay et al. also disclose a system, comprising: an instrument that controls and analyzes a microfludic device (see Fig. 6 and paragraphs 0083-0087); a computer (PC 11 shown on Fig. 1) including a processor and a computer readable medium, the computer

Art Unit: 2857

being capable of directing the instrument to apply a driving force to fluid in wells of the microfluidic device (see paragraph 0075-0078); and code stored on the computer readable medium that includes a sequence of steps, each step specifying at least one well of a microfluidic device, a value indicative of the driving force to be applied to fluid in the at least one well and a duration for applying the driving force specified by the value to the fluid in the at least one well ((see Fig. 11 and paragraphs 0075-0078, 0138-0140, and 0144)).

Dunlay et al. do not disclose driving the fluid along a channel in the microfluidic device.

Chow et al. teach driving the fluid along a channel in the microfluidic device (see col. 1, lines 61-66 and col. 3, lines 31-41).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dunlay et al.'s system to include driving the fluid along a channel in the microfluidic device, as taught by Chow et al., in order to perform the analysis (see col. 1, line 66).

As to claims 3 and 4, Dunlay et al. do not disclose expressly a current/voltage to be applied to the fluid in the at least one well.

It is, however, considered inherent that Dunlay et al. apply a current/voltage to the fluid in the at least one well (see paragraph 0076), because a power input, either line AC current and/or low voltage DC current can be provided by the power supply in order to drive fluid flow.

As to claims 5 and 6, Dunlay et al. also disclose a pressure to be applied to the fluid in the at least one well (see paragraph 0092).

As to claims 7-10, Dunlay et al. also disclose loading a sample to a main channel in the microfluidic device and running the sample through the main channel past the detection zone (see paragraphs 0095, 0105, and 0106).

As to claims 11 and 15, Dunlay et al. also disclose the sequence of steps storing on a computer readable medium and the computer readable medium being selected from the group consisting of a memory, hard disk, floppy, CD-ROM, tape, and data signal embodied on a carrier wave (see paragraphs 0035 and 0075).

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-11, 14, and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants argue that Dunlay et al. do not disclose specifying duration for applying the driving force. The Examiner disagrees with Applicants. As set forth above in art rejection, Dunlay et al. do disclose specifying a duration for applying the driving force (see paragraph 0144).

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/361,478

Art Unit: 2857

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 7

#### **Contact Information**

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol S. W. Tsai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax number for TC 2800 is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2800 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1585 or (571) 272-2800.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2800 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the

Art Unit: 2857

examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2800 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Carol S. W. Tsai

(als. W. 2'

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2857

09/27/04